

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,589	07/08/2003	Joe S. Wilkins JR.	WRC/8c	8939
Laura G. Barro	7590 02/09/200° w. Esa.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 215	-	ROBERTS, LEZAH		
Estero, FL 33928-0215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1614	_
				<del>, .</del>
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/615,589	)	WILKINS, JOE S.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Lezah W. R	oberts	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO I - Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (1) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statiply received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION it, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 December 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 6-17 is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination.	iwn from consi				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed December 29, 2006. All rejections have been withdrawn unless stated below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### **Claims**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 – Anticipation (Previous Rejection)

1) Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greathouse et al. (US 3,023,144). The rejection is maintained.

Applicant argues Greathouse, et al. does not teach any therapeutically effective germicidal activity on the part of d-limonene, but instead states that "d-limonene per se has been found to possess comparatively little germicidal activity. The fact that limonene due to its activity as a solvent and penetrant, may enhance the bactericidal activity of true antibacterial agents, does not equate to limonene by itself being an effective biocidal agent. The claims recite the limitation "for a time sufficient for said d-limonene to effectively eradicate or inhibit the growth of said bacteria." This argument is not persuasive.

The claims recite a method comprising a formulation comprising d-limonene. The claims are inclusive of additional biocidal agents ("comprising"). The limonene is an

Art Unit: 1614

effective biocidal agent because it enhances the antibacterial activity. Furthermore the limonene is present "for a time sufficient for said d-limonene to effectively eradicate or inhibit the growth of the said bacteria". Although the it was not recognized that the limonene was acting as "a biocidal agent" as oppose to a penetrant or a solvent, the reference discloses the limonene was used in a biocidal composition to inhibit bacteria, which encompasses the instant claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - Anticipation (New Rejection)

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatsu et al. (US 5,453,279).

Nakatsu et al. disclose antimicrobial composition for controlling *P. aeruginosa* or *P. acnes*, which contains naturally occurring substances including limonene (see Abstract). The naturally occurring substances used in this invention are present in the composition in an antimicrobial effective amount, which denotes an amount sufficient to kill or inhibit *P. aeruginosa* or *P. acnes*. Thus, the term not only applies to microbiocidal (killing) activity, but also to microbiostatic (inhibiting) activity. The composition may be applied topically in the form of a lotion, solution, cream, ointment or dusting powder (col. 3, lines 19-25). The reference anticipates the instant claims insofar as it discloses a method of killing or inhibiting of bacteria comprising a therapeutically effective amount of d-limonene.

Art Unit: 1614

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Obviousness (Previous Rejection)

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greathouse et al. in view of Wolff. The rejection is maintained.

Applicant argues claims 2 and 4 are dependent on claim 1 and the reasons are discussed above.

The rejection is maintained in regards to claim 1 therefore the rejection is maintained in regards to claims 2 and 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Obviousness (New Rejection)

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatsu et al. (US 5,453,279) in view of Wolff (Buger's Medicinal Chemistry, Pt I).

The primary reference is as stated *supra*. The reference differs from the instant claims insofar as to not state the purity of the d-limonene.

Wolff discloses the importance of purity of a medication or food additive. In 1906 the congress of the United States passed the Pure Food and Drug Act, which established more stringent and more desirable criteria of purity of drugs and food additives. The purity of a drug is not only important to determine potency of the drug composition in order to determine dosage, but possible impurities may cause unwanted side effects when administered (pages 23-24, section 6.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the purest possible d-limonene in the compositions in the primary reference motivated by the desire to know the amount of active ingredient in the compositions, to ensure

Art Unit: 1614

impurities would not cause unwanted effects and to ensure compliance with FDA regulations as stated in the secondary reference.

Claims 1-5 are rejected.

Claims 6-17 are withdrawn.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lezah W. Roberts whose telephone number is 571-272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1614

Lezah Roberts Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614